Appendix table 7-18. **Public assessment of general scientific research: 1979–2001** 

Characteristic	1979	1981	1985	1988	1990	1992	1995	1997	1999	2001	
Percent											
All adults											
Benefits strongly outweigh harmful results	46	42	44	57	47	42	43	47	47	47	
Benefits slightly outweigh harmful results	24	28	24	25	25	31	29	28	27	25	
Benefits equal harmful results	19	13	13	5	15	11	16	13	11	19	
Harmful results slightly outweigh benefits	7	12	13	9	10	12	10	8	10	7	
Harmful results strongly outweigh benefits	4	5	6	4	3	4	3	4	5	3	
Male											
Benefits strongly outweigh harmful results	51	48	48	59	54	45	47	52	50	49	
Benefits slightly outweigh harmful results	23	27	23	25	24	30	28	27	27	27	
Benefits equal harmful results	16	11	10	5	9	9	13	10	9	15	
Harmful results slightly outweigh benefits	7	10	13	7	9	11	9	7	10	7	
Harmful results strongly outweigh benefits	3	5	6	4	4	5	4	4	4	2	
Female											
Benefits strongly outweigh harmful results	42	37	40	55	40	40	39	42	45	44	
Benefits slightly outweigh harmful results	25	28	26	25	26	31	30	29	28	23	
Benefits equal harmful results	23	16	14	6	20	13	19	15	12	22	
Harmful results slightly outweigh benefits	6	14	14	10	11	12	10	10	10	8	
Harmful results strongly outweigh benefits	4	5	6	4	3	4	3	4	5	3	
Less than high school graduate											
Benefits strongly outweigh harmful results	26	26	20	37	24	24	18	30	25	28	
Benefits slightly outweigh harmful results	25	23	21	30	25	33	30	28	25	27	
Benefits equal harmful results	32	25	26	9	30	17	34	21	18	26	
Harmful results slightly outweigh benefits	12	18	20	17	17	20	14	18	22	13	
Harmful results strongly outweigh benefits	5	9	13	7	4	7	3	3	10	6	
High school graduate											
Benefits strongly outweigh harmful results	50	43	47	59	49	41	44	46	47	45	
Benefits slightly outweigh harmful results	26	31	26	25	27	32	30	30	31	25	
Benefits equal harmful results	16	10	10	5	11	10	13	13	10	20	
Harmful results slightly outweigh benefits	5	12	13	7	10	12	10	6	8	8	
Harmful results strongly outweigh benefits	3	4	4	4	3	5	3	5	4	2	
Baccalaureate and higher											
Benefits strongly outweigh harmful results	69	64	67	80	72	66	67	67	71	64	
Benefits slightly outweigh harmful results	18	22	23	16	18	22	23	23	19	23	
Benefits equal harmful results	8	7	2	1	6	8	6	6	5	9	
Harmful results slightly outweigh benefits	2	4	6	2	2	3	3	3	4	2	
Harmful results strongly outweigh benefits	3	2	2	1	2	2	1	1	1	2	
Attentive public to science and technology <sup>a</sup>											
Benefits strongly outweigh harmful results	67	63	59	62	61	48	64	64	61	55	
Benefits slightly outweigh harmful results	16	20	17	23	19	27	21	19	21	20	
Benefits equal harmful results	8	5	7	6	10	12	8	6	5	13	
Harmful results slightly outweigh benefits	4	8	13	6	6	9	3	8	11	9	
Harmful results strongly outweigh benefits	5	4	4	3	4	4	4	3	2	4	

See explanatory notes, if any, and SOURCE at end of table.

Appendix table 7-18. Public assessment of general scientific research: 1979–2001

Characteristic	1979	1981	1985	1988	1990	1992	1995	1997	1999	2001		
Sample size (number)												
All adults	1,635	1,536	2,005	975	2,033	997	2,006	2,000	1,882	1,574		
Male	773	724	950	475	964	464	953	930	900	751		
Female	862	812	1,054	500	1,070	533	1,053	1,070	982	823		
Less than high school graduate	465	385	507	259	495	215	418	420	403	116		
High school graduate	932	886	1,147	546	1,202	579	1,196	1,188	1,111	834		
Baccalaureate and higher	238	264	349	170	336	203	392	392	368	614		
Attentive public to science and technology <sup>a</sup>	154	381	235	116	229	94	195	288	216	195		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>To be classified as attentive to a given policy area, an individual must indicate that he or she is "very interested" in that issue, is "very well informed" about it, and be a regular reader of a daily newspaper or relevant national magazine. Individuals who report that they are "very interested" in an issue but do not think that they are "very well informed" about it are classified as the "interested public." All other individuals are classified as members of the "residual public" for that issue area. The attentive public for science and technology combines the attentive public for new scientific discoveries and the attentive public for new inventions and technologies. Any individual who is not attentive to either of those issues but who is a member of the interested public for at least one of those issues is classified as a member of the interested public for science and technology. All other individuals are classified as members of the residual public for science and technology.

NOTES: Percentages may not total 100 because of rounding. A few respondents did not provide information about their highest level of education. Responses are for the following statements:

- -People have frequently noted that scientific research has produced both beneficial and harmful consequences.
- -Would you say that, on balance, the benefits of scientific research have outweighed the harmful results, or have the harmful results of scientific research been greater than its benefits?
- -Would you say that the balance has been strongly in favor of beneficial results or only slightly?
- -Would you say that the balance has been strongly in favor of harmful results or only slightly?

SOURCE: National Science Foundation, Division of Science Resources Statistics (NSF/SRS), NSF Survey of Public Attitudes Toward and Understanding of Science and Technology, various years. See figure 7-6 in Volume 1.

Page 2 of 2

Science & Engineering Indicators – 2002